UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

ANTHONY GRECO, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

NYE COUNTY DISTRICT JUDGE ROBERT LAKE, *et al.*

٧.

Defendants.

Case No. 2:15-cv-001370-MMD-PAL

ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE PEGGY A. LEEN

Before the Court is the Amended Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Peggy A. Leen (ECF No. 38) ("R&R" or "Recommendation") relating to Plaintiff Anthony Greco's third application to proceed *in forma pauperis* ("IFP Application") (ECF No. 37). (ECF No. 38.) Plaintiff filed the third IFP Application after the Magistrate Judge issued the initial Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 36) addressing his second IFP Application. (ECF No. 37.) Plaintiff had until November 26, 2016, to object to the R&R. (ECF No. 38.) To date, no objection to the R&R has been filed.

This Court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate judge." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party timely objects to a magistrate judge's report and recommendation, then the court is required to "make a *de novo* determination of those portions of the [report and recommendation] to which objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Where a party fails

to object, however, the court is not required to conduct "any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the subject of an objection." *Thomas v. Am*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985). Indeed, the Ninth Circuit has recognized that a district court is not required to review a magistrate judge's report and recommendation where no objections have been filed. *See United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114 (9th Cir. 2003) (disregarding the standard of review employed by the district court when reviewing a report and recommendation to which no objections were made); *see also Schmidt v. Johnstone*, 263 F. Supp. 2d 1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003) (reading the Ninth Circuit's decision in *Reyna-Tapia* as adopting the view that district courts are not required to review "any issue that is not the subject of an objection."). Thus, if there is no objection to a magistrate judge's recommendation, then the court may accept the recommendation without review. *See*, e.g., *Johnstone*, 263 F. Supp. 2d at 1226 (accepting, without review, a magistrate judge's recommendation to which no objection was filed).

Nevertheless, this Court finds it appropriate to engage in a *de novo* review to determine whether to adopt Magistrate Judge Leen's R&R. Upon reviewing the R&R and the filings in this case, this Court finds good cause to adopt the Magistrate Judge's Recommendation in full.

It is therefore ordered, adjudged and decreed that the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge Peggy A. Leen (ECF No. 38) is accepted and adopted in its entirety.

It is further ordered that Plaintiff Applications to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* (ECF Nos. 24, 37) are denied and this action is dismissed without prejudice to Plaintiff's ability to commence a new action in which he either pays the appropriate filing fee in full or submits a sufficient application to proceed *in forma pauperis*.

It is further ordered that the Magistrate Judge's initial Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 36) is overruled as moot by the Magistrate Judge's issuance of the R&R.

///

Case 2:15-cv-01370-MMD-PAL Document 39 Filed 12/30/16 Page 3 of 3